

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

10 BRENTON DWAYNE THOMPSON,
11
12 Petitioner,

v.

13 BRIAN WILLIAMS,
14
Respondent.

No. C09-5265 RJB/KLS

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
DEFAULT

15 Before the court is Petitioner's motion for default. Dkt. 20. Having carefully reviewed
16 the motion and response of Respondent (Dkt. 21), the court **ORDERS** as follows:

17
18 Petitioner requests that an order of default be entered against Respondent and that his
19 habeas petition be granted because Respondent failed to file an answer to his petition in the time
20 granted by the court. Dkt. 21, p. 2.

21 Pursuant to the court's Order dated June 16, 2009, Respondent was ordered to file an
22 Answer to Petitioner's habeas petition by August 3, 2009. Dkt. 10. The court granted
23 Respondent's motion for extension of time and ordered the Respondent to file his Answer on or
24 before September 17, 2009. Dkt. Nos. 15 and 16. Respondent filed his Answer and Submission
25 of Relevant State Record with on September 16, 2009. Dkts. 17 and 18.
26

1 Therefore, Respondent's Answer and submission of relevant state court record were filed
2 with this court's timely manner. In addition, copies of Respondent's Answer and the relevant
3 state court record were both mailed to Mr. Thompson to the address on file for him: P.O. Box
4 208, Southern Desert Correctional Center, Indian Springs, NV 89070-0208. Dkt. 17, p. 56; Dkt.
5 21, Exh. 1.

6
7 Therefore, Mr. Thompson's contention that the Respondent failed to defend against his
8 habeas petition is without merit. Furthermore, even if the Respondent's Answer had been
9 untimely, Mr. Thompson is not entitled to the remedy he seeks. While Rule 55 provides for
10 default judgment in most civil actions, the Ninth Circuit has expressly declared that a habeas
11 corpus petitioner is not entitled to default judgment. *Gordon v. Duran*, 895 F.2d 610 (9th Cir.
12 1990). The State's failure to respond to the claims in a habeas corpus petition does not entitle the
13 petitioner to a default judgment. *Id.* at 612. The habeas petitioner still bears the burden of
14 showing that he or she is in custody in violation of the Constitution. Absent such a showing, the
15 petitioner is not entitled to relief. *Id.*

16
17 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

18 (1) Petitioner's motion for default (Dkt. 20) is **DENIED**.

19
20 DATED this 26th day of October, 2009.

21
22 
23 Karen L. Strombom
24 United States Magistrate Judge
25
26